

I still search for a one-sided anything. Even a circle has two-sides. What would one use only one-side of anything to do? How would only one-side exist? Two-sides must be no matter how thin a slice. For one-side to be, there must be an opposite one. That is the rule of 'dualism and polarities': unity consists of two opposing ends of a continuum. People try to pass off blame, argument, rhetoric and other abstracts as one-sided, but another must be for them to have one at all! Amazing how some people apply that principle to certain exclusions that make no sense in applying the rule. To side with one is to deny the other. How could there be **no** side without **a** side? Both must be in a whole containing opposites. It is only there that one can clearly observe unity without denying any part of it; only when centered can a person accept the whole of in-dominant, equal parts. The middle way is the way of non-struggle, non-conflict, and a natural, peaceful path of least resistance. Recognizing only one-half of an absolute means, the other invisibly exists in denial as a misunderstood incompleteness. It is never failing that when hearing both sides of an account how it drastically changes into something wholly different from what only one side presents. Forgiveness is a two-sided harmony: a total of self-forgiveness and forgiveness of others. If one cannot forgive self, he or she cannot forgive others and vice versa; each is one-half the fullness of forgiving. Polarity to a side is disunity; staying the middle vantage is a sacred path. If I cannot learn both sides then do not wish to know either one.